

1 **BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL AND LAND USE HEARINGS BOARD**

2
3 FRIENDS OF GRAYS HARBOR and)
4 WASHINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL)
COUNCIL)

ELUHB 03-001 *ET SEQ.*

5)
6 Appellants,)

**PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF
ALFRED M. WIEDEMANN, PH.D.**

7 v.)
8)

9 CITY OF WESTPORT et al.)
10)

11 Respondents)
12)

13
14 Outline of Testimony

15	BACKGROUND	2
16	Current Position and Experience	2
17	EXPERT OPINION	3
18	Uniqueness of Site	3
19	Beneficial Uses	4
20	Fragility of the Site	5
21	Likely Impacts of the Links Project	5
22	Mitigation	6
23	CONCLUSION.....	7

1 1. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this testimony and would be
2 competent to testify thereto. The remainder consists of my professional opinion based upon my
3 expertise in relevant fields, as discussed below:

4
5 **BACKGROUND**

6 **Current Position and Experience**

7 2. I am a retired Professor of Ecology and Environmental Sciences. I taught courses
8 in these subjects at Evergreen State College for 35 years.

9 3. I have significant expertise on the subject of dune ecology and morphology. I
10 have spent almost 40 years studying and teaching college level courses on coastal dune
11 systems. I have a Ph.D. in plant ecology from Oregon State University, and completed my
12 dissertation on the Ecology of Coastal Dunes in 1966. Since then, I have studied the
13 distribution of dunes, dune dynamics, dune development, etc.

14 4. I am considered an authority on the subject of dune systems. I am an author of
15 two books on dune systems: *Plants of the Oregon Coastal Dunes* (1969) (Revised 1999), and
16 *Ecology of Pacific Northwest Coastal Sand Dunes: A Community Profile* (1984). The latter
17 book was written for the U.S. Department of Fish and Wildlife, and was cited as best available
18 science in the development of the new Wetland Rating System for Western Washington for the
19 rating of interdunal wetlands. (Ecology 2004). I have also written more than 10 other
20 published works on dune ecology.

21 5. I have evaluated project plans for the Links at Half Moon Bay proposal and have
22 visited the site for that project (project site) numerous times over the past 30 years. I also
23 supervised four students in a dune ecology course who reviewed and commented upon the
24 Links proposal.
25
26
27
28

1 6. Attached is a copy of my work, *Ecology of Pacific Northwest Coastal Sand*
2 *Dunes: A Community Profile* (1984) (Trial Ex. A155).

3
4 **EXPERT OPINION**

5 7. All opinions stated herein are drawn from my review of the above described
6 documents and my expertise in the fields.

7 8. It is my opinion that the project would destroy the present functions and values of
8 the dune and wetland systems on the project site and would impact to an unknown extent the
9 remaining parts of the wetland system and downstream habitat and water quality.

10
11
12 **Uniqueness of Site**

13 9. Coastal dunes are important and unique ecosystems. There is reason to believe
14 that the dune system and interdunal wetlands extending onto the project site are unique in the
15 region and play critical regional functions in terms of hydrology and habitat. I know of no
16 similar area in Washington; the nearest similar site is about 200 miles south of the project site.

17
18 10. Undeveloped dune systems of any substantial size are also a rare ecosystem. The
19 Department of Ecology's 1974 Coastal Dune Study (Ruef 1974), a copy of which is attached as
20 *Trial Ex. A56*, documents the rarity of coastal dune areas in Washington, and is one of the most
21 comprehensive sources on the subject. That study found that, as of its writing, there were only
22 nine large dune areas in Washington that had not been developed. *Trial Ex. A56 at p.21*. Of
23 those, the dune system on the project site was one of the largest and had the widest deflation
24 plain unit. *Ex. A56 at p.22*. It is my experience that there has been ongoing development in
25 southwest Washington since 1974 and it is likely that there are now fewer than nine undeveloped
26 systems of this type.
27
28

1 11. This project site is also unique in the level of public access to the dune systems.
2 State Park land lies on the north and south of the project site and a public trail extends along the
3 entire western side of the property. This trail connects the two State Parks and is very popular.
4 From both State Parks and the trail one can get an expansive view of the undeveloped dune and
5 wetland system extending from the project site to Westport Light State Park. The backdrop is
6 largely forested with no major developments in view. This public access to the dune system is
7 quite rare and important. It is an important amenity to the Parks and to the region. I know of no
8 other trail allowing even the mobility impaired to enjoy such a large expanse of coastal sand
9 dunes. The value of the dune and wetland system on the project site is increased because a large
10 portion of the systems is protected by virtue of being on State Park property.
11

12
13 **Beneficial Uses**
14

15 12. The unique features of the project site provide many beneficial uses. These
16 include:

17 13. Fish and wildlife habitat. The unique features of Pacific Northwest coastal dune
18 systems, with forests, meadows, marshes and lakes are habitat to a great number of animals and
19 plants. *Trial Ex. A155 at p. 2.* There is evidence this particular project site is used by coho
20 salmon, a candidate species under the Federal Endangered Species Act, migratory birds, and
21 other wildlife. The presence of salmon in this wetland system is unique and itself should provide
22 a basis for protecting this system.
23

24 14. Water quality and quantity. Interdunal wetlands provide water quality and
25 quantity benefits to both surface and groundwater. The wetland system at issue drains to the
26 Grays Harbor Estuary and rests above an aquifer used as the City's drinking water source.
27
28

1 15. Protection against coastal erosion. Dune systems provide a natural buffer to
2 protect development from the erosive forces of the coast. The dune system provides an area for
3 harmless coastal retreat during erosion trends times. It also provides a buffer for wave energy
4 and a place where wave overtopping can dissipate before it reaches development.

5 16. Educational opportunities. The project site provides irreplaceable opportunities
6 for public study of coastal dune and wetland ecology. I have taken college students on a field
7 trip to the Westport dunes and supervised students in a dune ecology course who reviewed and
8 commented upon the Links proposal.

9 17. Passive recreation. The project site's location near State Parks and its rich
10 diversity of plant and animal species make it an ideal location for passive recreational activities.

11 **Fragility of the Site**

12 18. The complexity, importance and fragility of dune systems is accurately described
13 in the Department of Ecology's 1974 Coastal Dune Study (Ruef 1974), *Trial Ex. A56*. Dune
14 systems are extremely fragile and development and other human activities have impacted the
15 majority of dune systems in the State. The literature confirms that the delicate balance of the
16 dune system can be destroyed by large scale development, altering the hydrologic systems,
17 filling of interdunal wetlands, and the development of path and road systems (Ruef 1974). *Trial*
18 *Ex. A56 at p.19-21.*

19 **Likely Impacts of the Links Project**

20 19. There is no question that the proposed development will fundamentally destroy
21 the functions of the dune and wetland system on the project site. Even if the structure of the
22 wetland system were to be preserved, the habitat values would be destroyed. The birds and

1 wildlife that have been documented on the site would not continue to use the site if it were
2 converted to a golf course. The construction of the golf course would likely destroy or displace
3 most individual fish and animals. The ongoing use of the site as an intensive recreational facility
4 would discourage fish and animals from repopulating the site.

5 20. The construction and maintenance of the golf course would fundamentally destroy
6 the dune ecology itself. The development will likely destroy the dune ecology by (1) altering the
7 hydrology and therefore plant succession; (2) filling the wetland and therefore altering water
8 impoundment and plant succession; (3) making major alterations to dunes and therefore
9 contributing to erosion; (4) building extensive cart paths throughout the system; and (5)
10 introducing large numbers of people and cart traffic to the system. Such uses and development
11 are recognized as negatively impacting dune systems. *Trial Ex. A56 at pp. 19-21.*

12 21. Substantial development on the project site can be expected to impair the
13 functions of the dune and wetland systems on the Westport Light State Park property. Only
14 large undeveloped parcels of land can serve many habitat values. Thus, development on the
15 Project Site may significantly impair the habitat remaining undeveloped on the Westport Light
16 State Park property. Moreover, salmon have been found in the wetlands on the project site have
17 access to the wetlands on the Park site. Impacts on the project site therefore would impact the
18 value of salmon habitat on the State Park site.

19
20
21
22
23 **Mitigation**

24 22. I am familiar with the Applicant's proposal to mitigate impacts to the interdunal
25 wetlands by excavating adjacent dunes.
26
27
28

1 Stated under oath this 8th day of August, 2005, in Seattle, Washington.

2
3 Alfred M. Wiedemann, Ph.D.
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28